(d) To strengthen the relationship between Institutions of local Self-Governance and voluntary Organisations the Ministry of Rural Development has issued letters to the States emphasizing that PRI-NGO relationship should be such that they complement each others efforts.

Credit assistance for self-employment in Tamil Nadu

1892. PROF. M. SANKARALINGAM: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the credit assistance provided by the nationalised banks for self employment Programme in the rural areas of Tamil Nadu;

(b) whether Government have detected any shortcomings in the implementation of these programmes;

(c) whether Government have received any complaint from the State Government in this connection; and

(d) if so, steps taken or are being taken by the Government to rectify the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ANNASAHEB M.K. PATIL): (a) During the years 2000-2001, 2001-2002 and 2002-2003 an amount of Rs. 1402.27 lakh, Rs. 4096.88 lakh and Rs. 3239.60 lakh respectively were disbursed as credit assistance by banks to Swarozgaris in Tamil Nadu under Swaranjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY).

(b) No, Sir. The Ministry has not detected any shortcomings in the implementation of the Scheme in Tamil Nadu.

(d) Does not arise.

Proposals received from states under Swajaldhara Scheme

†1893. SHRI BALKAVI BAIRAGI: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of schemes/proposals and their cost under 'Swajaldhara' programme from Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttaranchal, Chhattisgarh and Uttar Pradesh forwarded for approval of Central Government;

(b) the reasons for delay in approving these scheme; and

(c) the amount sanctioned for these schemes along with the amount provided by the Central Government together with the state-wise details thereof?

†Original notice of the Question was received in Hindi.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ANNASAHEB M.K. PATIL): (a) to (c) The information regarding proposals received, and approved along with their cost and Government of India's share in respect of Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Chhattisgarh, Uttar Pradesh and Uttaranchal is as under:—

State	Proposals received	Proposals approved	Total Cost (Rs. in Lakh)	Central Share (Rs. in Lakh)
Madhya Pradesh	562	91*	603.194	575.11
Rajasthan	140	35	412.51	374.52
Chhattisgarh	258	102	283.10	262.99
Uttar Pradesh	5053	655	1239.21	1129.7304
Uttaranchal	0	0	NA	NA

*118 projects were approved by National Scheme Sanctioning Committee. However in respect of 24 projects, there were water quality problem and proposals for 3 projects were withdrawn by State Government. Thus, only 91 projects were approved.

As per the revised Guidelines, on Swajaldhara dated 13-6-2003, the funds are allocated to the States and the States in turn make district wise allocation as per the demand generated. The proposals are finalised by District Water and Sanitation Committees within the allocation made. The States have been requested to assign priority and to take decision on the un-approved proposals in the light of Guidelines.

Poverty alleviation schemes for Uttar Pradesh

1894 PROF. M.M. AGARWAL: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of poverty alleviation schemes formulated by Government for rural and backward districts of Uttar Pradesh;

(b) the funds allocated to the State Government during the last three years, and the percentage utilisation of funds; and

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.